IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.7840 OF 2005

Shailendra Dhoopnarayan Pandey Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra Respondent

Mr.Edgar Braganza with Ms.Anjali Iyer for applicant

Mr.R.Y.Mirza, APP for respondent.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 2nd December 2005

PC :

1. Heard learned advocate for applicant and learned APP for State. Perused the application and annexures thereto including order passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Thane. The offences alleged are under sections 452, 324, 427, 323, 143, 147, 148, 149 of IPC.. The allegations are serious inasmuch as the applicant is one of the persons who has entered the shop and inquired about a person who had intervened in an earlier quarrel. The said person was thereafter assaulted. Extensive damage was also caused to the said shop. In these circumstances,

the Sessions Judge was right in holding that presence of the accused in custody would be necessary. There is material to interrogate the accused further. In these circumstances, anticipatory bail is rightly refused. Hence rejected.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)